

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342  
 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134  
 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855  
 50 Fremont Street  
 Post Office Box 7880  
 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 EDWARD R. MCNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 DAVID LEE LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 ERIC A. SHUMSKY #206124  
 1501 K Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 Telephone: (202) 736-8010  
 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

Attorneys for Specially Appearing Defendants  
 AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
 LITIGATION

MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING THE  
 MOTION OF SPECIALLY APPEARING  
 DEFENDANTS AT&T INC. AND  
 BELL SOUTH CORPORATION TO  
 DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT  
 FOR LACK OF PERSONAL  
 JURISDICTION**

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

This Document Relates To:

*McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et  
 al.*, No. 09-cv-0131-VRW

1           On March 16, 2009, specially appearing defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth  
2 Corporation moved pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to  
3 dismiss the Complaint (Dkt. 1) filed by Rev. Joe McMurray et al. (“plaintiffs”) for lack of  
4 personal jurisdiction.

5           On May 14, 2009, the motion duly came on for hearing before the Court, the Hon.  
6 Vaughn R. Walker, United States District Chief Judge, presiding. Having considered  
7 AT&T Inc. and BellSouth’s motion, plaintiffs’ opposition thereto, defendants’ reply brief,  
8 and the oral arguments presented by counsel for the parties, and good cause appearing,

9           IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

10          1.       The motion is hereby GRANTED on the ground that the Court has neither  
11 general nor specific jurisdiction over either AT&T Inc. or BellSouth Corporation, as both  
12 defendants lack the requisite contacts with the jurisdiction in which the complaint was filed.

13          2.       Accordingly, the Complaint (Dkt. 1) is hereby DISMISSED as to defendants  
14 AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation.

15  
16 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
United States District Chief Judge